


# ***European Commission***



**Draft Assessment Report prepared according to the Commission  
Regulation (EU) N° 1107/2009**

## **ISOFLUCYPRAM**

### **Volume 3 – B.4 (PPP) – Isoflucypram EC 50**

**Rapporteur Member State : United Kingdom  
Co-Rapporteur Member State : France**

---

## Version History

When	What
March 2019	Initial DAR

---

## Table of contents

<b>B.4. FURTHER INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>B.4.1. SAFETY INTERVALS AND OTHER PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT HUMANS, ANIMALS AND THE ENVIRONMENT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>B.4.2. RECOMMENDED METHODS AND PRECAUTIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>B.4.3. EMERGENCY MEASURES IN CASE OF AN ACCIDENT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>B.4.4. PACKAGING, COMPATIBILITY OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT WITH PROPOSED PACKAGING MATERIALS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>B.4.5. PROCEDURES FOR DESTRUCTION OR DECONTAMINATION OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT AND ITS PACKAGING .....</b>	<b>5</b>
B.4.5.1. Neutralisation procedure.....	5
B.4.5.2. Controlled incineration.....	5
<b>B.4.6. REFERENCES RELIED ON.....</b>	<b>5</b>

## **B.4. FURTHER INFORMATION**

### **B.4.1. SAFETY INTERVALS AND OTHER PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT HUMANS, ANIMALS AND THE ENVIRONMENT**

### **B.4.2. RECOMMENDED METHODS AND PRECAUTIONS**

#### **Cleaning procedures for application equipment**

Product left over in field spraying equipment which has not been sufficiently cleaned may cause damage during sequential treatment of sensitive crops. As a consequence, cleaning of field spraying equipment is an essential part of the recommendations for use of plant protection products. **Page 8 of 11 2018-01-30 Document MCP – Section 4: Further information on the plant protection product Isoflucypram EC 50 (50 g/L)**

An evaluation of a test series for cleaning of spraying equipment is submitted to establish a globally acceptable and practicable procedure. The outcome of this study showed effective cleaning result with no dependency on chemical or formulation related parameters. Therefore, no specific study for the representative formulation ISY EC 50 deem necessary.

<b>Report:</b>	KCP 4.2/02; Friessleben, R.; 2008; M-357166-01-1
<b>Title:</b>	Summary and conclusive report of studies on spray tank cleaning realized in the years 2000 - 2008
<b>Report No.:</b>	M-357166-01-1
<b>Document No.:</b>	M-357166-01-1
<b>Guideline(s):</b>	not specified
<b>Guideline deviation(s):</b>	not specified
<b>GLP/GEP:</b>	<b>no</b>

The applicant has presented an acceptable case to support tank cleaning procedure. The method use in the study is as follows and is considered suitable to support authorisation in the UK;

The cleaning process has to be carried out as follows:

1. Empty the spraying equipment completely on the treated field.
2. Remove all existing filters inclusive nozzle filters and clean thoroughly
3. Fill spraying equipment with 10% of spray tank volume and rinse with a rotating interior nozzle, if possible.
4. Apply rinsing liquid to the treated field.
5. For a second rinsing, repeat steps 3 and 4.
6. Check filters again and in case of visible leftover product, clean again.
7. Inspect the inside walls of the spray tank and clean if residues are visible
8. Separate tanks and fittings in particular the injection hopper have to be inspected and included in the cleaning process

### **B.4.3. EMERGENCY MEASURES IN CASE OF AN ACCIDENT**

**B.4.4. PACKAGING, COMPATIBILITY OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT WITH PROPOSED PACKAGING MATERIALS****B.4.5. PROCEDURES FOR DESTRUCTION OR DECONTAMINATION OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT AND ITS PACKAGING****B.4.5.1. Neutralisation procedure****B.4.5.2. Controlled incineration****B.4.6. REFERENCES RELIED ON**

Data Point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner	Previous evaluation
B.4.2	Friessleben, R.	24/09/08	M-612188-01-1 Non GEP or GLP	N	Yes		Bayer Crop Science	n/a